

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licenses of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy Interspinous Fixation - Fusion Devices

Table of Contents

- Policy: Commercial
 - iou Modicoro
- <u>Coding Information</u>
- Information Pertaining to All Policies

References

- Policy: Medicare
- Authorization Information
- Description
- Policy History
- Policy Number: 436

BCBSA Reference Number: 7.01.138 NCD/LCD: NA

Related Policies

Interspinous Distraction Devices (Spacers), #<u>584</u>

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Interspinous fixation (fusion) devices are **INVESTIGATIONAL** for any indication, including but not limited to use:

- In combination with interbody fusion, or
- Alone for decompression in patients with spinal stenosis.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

 For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization <u>IS REQUIRED</u> for all products if the procedure is performed <u>inpatient</u>.

Outpatient

• For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization <u>might be</u> <u>required</u> if the procedure is performed <u>outpatient</u>.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is not a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is not a covered service.
Medicare HMO Blue ^s	This is not a covered service.
Medicare PPO Blue SM	This is not a covered service.

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

CPT Codes

There is no specific CPT code for this service.

Description

Contemporary models of interspinous fixation devices have evolved from spinous process wiring with bone blocks and early device designs (eg, Wilson plate, Meurig-Williams system, Daab plate). The newer devices range from paired plates with teeth to U-shaped devices with wings that are attached to the spinous process. They are intended as an alternative to pedicle screw and rod constructs to aid in the stabilization of the spine with interbody fusion. Interspinous fixation devices are placed under direct visualization, while screw and rod systems may be placed under direct visualization or percutaneously. Use of an interspinous fixation device in combination with a unilateral pedicle screw system has also been proposed. Interspinous fixation devices are not intended for stand-alone use.

For use in combination with fusion, it has been proposed that interspinous fixation devices are less invasive and present fewer risks than pedicle or facet screws. While biomechanics studies have indicated that interspinous fixation devices may be similar to pedicle screw-rod constructs in limiting the range of flexion and extension, they may be less effective than bilateral pedicle screw-rod fixation for limiting axial rotation and lateral bending.¹ There is a potential for a negative impact on the interbody cage and bone graft due to focal kyphosis resulting from the interspinous fixation device. There is also a potential for spinous process fracture.

Unlike interspinous fixation devices, interspinous distraction devices (spacers) are used alone for decompression and are typically not fixed to the spinous process (see medical policy #<u>584</u>). In addition, interspinous distraction devices have been designed for dynamic stabilization, whereas interspinous fixation devices are rigid. However, interspinous fixation devices might also be used to distract the spinous processes and decrease lordosis. Thus, interspinous fixation devices could be used off-label without interbody fusion as decompression (distraction) devices in patients with spinal stenosis. If interspinous fixation devices are used alone as a spacer, there is a risk of spinous process fracture.

Summary

Interspinous fixation (fusion) devices are being developed to aid in the stabilization of the spine. They are evaluated as alternatives to pedicle screw and rod constructs in combination with interbody fusion. Interspinous fixation devices are also being evaluated for stand-alone use in patients with spinal stenosis and/or spondylolisthesis.

For individuals who are undergoing spinal fusion who receive an interspinous fixation devices with interbody fusion, the evidence includes a systematic review of nonrandomized comparative studies and case series and 2 small randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. The randomized trials found comparable benefits for interspinous fixation devices with interbody fusion for those undergoing spinal fusions compared with interbody fusion with pedicle screws, but the comparative safety was less clear. One risk is spinous process fracture, while a potential benefit is a reduction in adjacent segment degeneration. Additionally, the RCTs had important methodological and relevancy weaknesses that limited their interpretation. Randomized trials with longer follow-up are needed to evaluate the risks and benefits following use of interspinous fixation devices compared with the established standard (pedicle screw with rod fixation). The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have spinal stenosis and/or spondylolisthesis who receive an interspinous fixation device alone, the evidence includes a retrospective series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. There is a lack of evidence

on the efficacy of interspinous fixation devices as a stand-alone procedure. RCTs are needed that evaluate health outcomes following use of interspinous fixation devices as a stand-alone for decompression. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy History

Date	Action
6/2020	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references
	updated. Policy statements unchanged.
5/2019	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references
	updated. Policy statements unchanged.
5/2018	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy. Background and
	summary clarified.
5/2017	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
11/2015	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
4/2013	BCBSA National medical policy review.
	New policy describing non-coverage. Effective 4/1/2013.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information: <u>Medical Policy Terms of Use</u> <u>Managed Care Guidelines</u> <u>Indemnity/PPO Guidelines</u> <u>Clinical Exception Process</u> <u>Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines</u>

References

- 1. Wu JC, Mummaneni PV. Using lumbar interspinous anchor with transforaminal lumbar interbody fixation. World Neurosurg. May 2010;73(5):471-472. PMID 20920928
- Lopez AJ, Scheer JK, Dahdaleh NS, et al. Lumbar spinous process fixation and fusion: a systematic review and critical analysis of an emerging spinal technology. Clin Spine Surg. Nov 2017;30(9):E1279-E1288. PMID 27438402
- Huang WM, Yu XM, Xu XD, et al. Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion with Interspinous Fastener Provides Comparable Clinical Outcome and Fusion Rate to Pedicle Screws. Orthop Surg. 2017 May;9(2). PMID 28544495
- 4. Panchal R, Denhaese R, Hill C, et al. Anterior and Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion With Supplemental Interspinous Process Fixation: Outcomes from a Multicenter, Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Study. Int J Spine Surg. 2018 Apr;12(2). PMID 30276077
- 5. Sclafani JA, Liang K, Ohnmeiss DD, et al. Clinical outcomes of a polyaxial interspinous fusion system. Int J Spine Surg. Feb 2014;8. PMID 25694912
- North American Spine Society (NASS). NASS coverage policy recommendations: Interspinous fixation with fusion. Revised December 2019. https://www.spine.org/coverage. Accessed February 19, 2020.